UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

JOHN SOLAK, Individually And On Behalf Of All Others Similarly Situated,) CASE NO. 5:16-cv-2470
Plaintiffs,) JUDGE SARA LIOI
vs. JOSEPH E. CONSOLINO, RONALD J. BRICHLER, I. JOHN CHOLNOKY, PATRICK J.	ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT
DENZER, GARY J. GRUBER, DONALD D. LARSON, TONY J. MERCURIO, DAVID W.)))
MICHELSON, NORMAN L. ROSENTHAL, DONALD W. SCHWEGMAN, ALAN R.	,))
SPACHMAN, NATIONAL INTERSTATE CORP., GREAT AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY,)
AMERICAN FINANCIAL GROUP, INC., and GAIC ALLOY, INC.,)
Defendants.))
-and-))
NATIONAL INTERSTATE CORP., an Ohio corporation,)))
Nominal Defendant.	,)

Pursuant to the Court's Order of February 15, 2017 (the "Preliminary Approval Order"), this Court held a hearing on May 30, 2017 (the "Final Settlement Hearing"), on the Stipulation of Settlement (the "Stipulation"), which was filed on February 10, 2017, in the above-captioned action (the "Action," including all actions consolidated therein). The Preliminary Approval Order and the Stipulation were joined and consented to by all the parties to the Action and are incorporated by reference in this Order and Final Judgment. Due notice of the Final Settlement

Hearing was given to the Settlement Class, as defined in paragraph 4 below, in accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order, and the Notice was adequate and sufficient. The parties to the Action appeared at the Final Settlement Hearing by their respective attorneys of record and were heard in support of the Settlement of the Action. All other persons desiring to be heard who properly and timely filed and served objections and/or notices of intent to appear were given an opportunity to be heard as provided in the Notice. The entire matter of the Settlement having been considered by the Court,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, this 31st day of May, 2017, as follows:

- 1. Unless otherwise defined in this Order and Final Judgment, all capitalized terms shall have the meanings set forth in the Stipulation.
- 2. The Notice of Proposed Settlement of Class and Derivative Action and Hearing thereon (the "Notice") has been given to the Settlement Class pursuant to and in the manner directed by the Preliminary Approval Order. Proof of the mailing of the Notice was filed with the Court, and a full opportunity to be heard has been afforded to all parties to the Action, the Settlement Class, and other persons interested in the Settlement. The Court hereby determines that the Notice previously given to Class Members constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances, constituted due and sufficient notice of the Action, the Settlement, and the matters set forth in said Notice to all persons entitled to receive notice, and fully satisfied the requirements of due process and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23 and 23.1. The Court further determines that all members of the Settlement Class are bound by this Order and Final Judgment. Defendants further caused to be served on the United States Attorney General and all State Attorneys General

notice pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, 28 U.S.C. §§ 1711 *et seq*. ("CAFA"), and the form and manner of that notice is hereby determined to be in full compliance with CAFA.

- 3. Based on the record in the Action, the Court finds that each of the provisions of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 has been satisfied, and that the Action has been properly maintained according to the provisions of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a), 23(b)(1), and/or 23(b)(2). Specifically, the Court finds that (a) the Settlement Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class; (c) the claims of Plaintiff as representative plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class; (d) Plaintiff and Class Counsel have fairly and adequately protected and represented the interests of the Settlement Class; (e) prosecuting separate actions by individual Class Members would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications that would establish inconsistent standards of conduct for the Defendants; and (f) Defendants have acted on grounds that generally apply to the Settlement Class.
- 4. The Action is hereby finally certified as a non-opt-out class action, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a), 23(b)(1), and 23(b)(2), on behalf of a class consisting of all record and beneficial holders of National Interstate common stock (including the State Plaintiffs), their respective successors in interest, successors, predecessors in interest, predecessors, representatives, trustees, executors, administrators, heirs, assigns, or transferees, immediate and remote, and any person or entity acting for or on behalf of, or claiming under, any of them, and each of them, together with their predecessors and successors and assigns, who held National Interstate common stock at any time between and including July 24, 2016 and November 10, 2016 (the effective date of the Merger) (the "Class Period"), but excluding Defendants, their subsidiaries or other affiliates, their assigns, members of their immediate families, officers of National

Interstate, and the legal representatives, heirs, successors, or assigns of any such excluded person (the "Settlement Class"). Further, the Plaintiff and the law firms of Co-Lead Counsel Karon LLC, Wolf Haldenstein Adler Freeman & Herz LLP and Monteverde & Associates PC have fairly and adequately represented the interests of the Settlement Class in enforcing their rights in the Action, and are finally certified as Settlement Class representatives and Class Counsel, respectively.

- 5. The Settlement is found to be fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the Settlement Class and National Interstate in its capacity as nominal defendant, and is hereby approved pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(e) and 23.1. The parties to the Stipulation are hereby authorized and directed to comply with and to consummate the Settlement in accordance with its terms and provisions, and the Clerk is directed to enter and docket this Order and Final Judgment in the Action.
- 6. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action, including all matters necessary to effectuate the Settlement and this Order and Final Judgment, and jurisdiction over all parties to the Action.
- 7. The Action and the claims asserted therein are hereby dismissed with prejudice as to all Defendants in the Action and against all members of the Settlement Class and, except as otherwise provided in the Stipulation and in paragraph 13 below, without the award of any damages, costs or fees, or the grant of any further relief.
- 8. All claims in the Action are dismissed with prejudice against all Defendants, and the Plaintiff, all Class Members and National Interstate in its capacity as both a nominal and direct defendant will be deemed to have forever released, relieved, settled, and discharged, fully and completely, any and all manner of claims, demands, rights, liabilities, losses, obligations, duties, damages, costs, debts, expenses, interest, penalties, sanctions, fees, attorneys' fees actions,

potential actions, causes of action, suits, agreements, judgments, decrees, matters, issues and controversies of any kind, nature, or description whatsoever, whether direct, derivative, individual, class, representative, legal, equitable, or of any other type (known or unknown) whether based on state, local, foreign, federal, statutory, regulatory, common, or other law or rule, that Plaintiff or any Releasing Parties ever had, now have, or may have, or otherwise could, can, or might assert, against any of the Released Parties that relate to or challenge any disclosures (or lack thereof) made in connection with the Merger Agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby, including the Merger, and the Proxy and any amendments, supplements, or modifications to any of the foregoing (including the adequacy and completeness of such disclosures), and/or that relate to any fiduciary duty claims concerning the decision to enter the Merger or the statutory or fiduciary obligations of the Released Parties in connection with the Merger or that challenge the adequacy or fairness of the Merger consideration (the "Settled Claims"); provided, however, that the Settled Claims shall not include (i) claims by the parties to enforce the terms of the Stipulation, the Settlement, or the MOU; and (ii) any properly perfected claims for appraisal pursuant to Ohio Rev. Code § 1701.85.

For purposes of this Order and Final Judgment, each of following persons or entities is a "Released Party," and collectively, they are "Released Parties": (i) National Interstate, Joseph E. ("Jeff") Consolino, Ronald J. Brichler, I. John Cholnoky, Patrick J. Denzer, Gary J. Gruber, Donald D. Larson, Anthony J. Mercurio, David W. Michelson, Norman L. Rosenthal, Donald W. Schwegman, Alan R. Spachman, Great American, American Financial Group, Inc. and GAIC Alloy, Inc.; (ii) any person or entity that is or was related to or affiliated with any of the persons or parties referred to in the preceding clause or in which any such person or party has or had a controlling interest; and (iii) the respective past or present insurers, reinsurers, family members,

spouses, and heirs, as well as the respective past or present trusts, trustees, executors, estates, administrators, beneficiaries, distributees, foundations, agents, employees, fiduciaries, partners, partnerships, general or limited partners or partnerships, joint ventures, member firms, limited liability companies, corporations, parents, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, associated entities, shareholders, principals, officers, directors, managing directors, members, managing members, managing agents, predecessors, predecessors in interest, successors, successors in interest, assigns, financial or investment advisors, advisors, consultants, investment bankers, entities providing any fairness opinion, underwriters, brokers, dealers, lenders, commercial bankers, attorneys, personal or legal representatives, accountants, and associates, of any of the persons or parties referred to in the preceding clauses (i) and (ii).

- 9. Defendants, including any and all of their respective successors in interest, predecessors, representatives, trustees, executors, administrators, heirs, assigns or transferees, immediate and remote, and any person or entity acting for or on behalf of, or claiming under any of them, and each of them, forever release Plaintiff, Plaintiff's counsel, and the members of the Class from any and all claims arising out of or relating to their filing and prosecution of the Action and any Settled Claims; *provided*, *however*, that this release shall not include the right of Defendants to enforce the terms of the Settlement.
- 10. The Releasing Parties shall waive and relinquish, to the fullest extent permitted by law, the provisions, rights, and benefits of any state, federal or foreign law or principle of common law, which may have the effect of limiting the release set forth above. This shall include a waiver by the Releasing Parties of any rights pursuant to Section 1542 of the California Civil Code (or any similar, comparable, or equivalent provision of any federal, state, or foreign law, or principle of common law), which provides:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

Plaintiff acknowledges, and the members of the Class shall be deemed by operation of the entry of a final order and judgment approving the Settlement to have acknowledged, that the foregoing waiver was separately bargained for, is an integral element of the Settlement, and was relied upon by each and all of the Defendants in entering into the Settlement.

- 11. Plaintiff and all other Class Members, and any of them, and anyone acting on their behalf, are barred and enjoined from commencing, prosecuting, instigating or in any way participating in the commencement or prosecution of any action asserting any Settled Claims, either directly, representatively, derivatively, or in any other capacity, against any Released Party. The Settling Parties agree to use their best efforts to prevent, stay, or seek dismissal of, or to oppose entry of any interim or final relief in favor of any member of the Settlement Class in, any other litigation that would be barred by the releases contemplated by the Stipulation, and any other litigation against any of the Settling Parties that challenges the Settlement, the Merger, or any transactions contemplated thereby, or that otherwise involves, directly or indirectly, a Released Claim.
- 12. Neither the Stipulation, the Settlement, this Order and Final Judgment, nor any negotiation had, act performed, or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Stipulation or the Settlement (a) is or may be deemed to be, or may be used or construed as, a presumption, concession or admission of, or evidence of, the validity or lack of validity of any Released Claims or any wrongdoing or liability of Defendants or a waiver of any applicable statute of limitations; (b) is or may be deemed to be or may be used or construed as a presumption, concession or admission of, or evidence of, any fault, liability or wrongdoing as to any facts or

claims that have been or might be alleged or asserted in the Action, or any other action or proceeding that has been, will be, or could be brought in relation to the Released Claims, the Merger, the Merger Agreement, the Proxy Statement, or any other negotiation, deliberation, or action taken or contemplated with regard to any proposal concerning the matters herein, nor may they or any of them or any portion of them be interpreted, construed, deemed, invoked, offered, or received in evidence or otherwise used by any person in the Action, or in any other action or proceeding, whether civil, criminal or administrative, for any purpose other than as provided expressly herein. Notwithstanding the foregoing, any of the Released Persons may file the Stipulation or any judgment or order of the Court related hereto, in any action to enforce the provisions of the Stipulation or in any action that may be brought against them, in order to support any and all defenses or counterclaims based on *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, release, good-faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction, or any other theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion, or similar defense or counterclaim.

- 13. Class Counsel are hereby awarded attorneys' fees and expenses in the amount of \$425,000, which sum the Court finds to be fair and reasonable and which shall be paid to Class Counsel in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation.
- 14. The effectiveness of this Order and Final Judgment and the obligations of Plaintiff, Class Counsel, the Settlement Class, and the Defendants under the Settlement shall not be conditioned upon or subject to the resolution of any appeal that relates solely to the issue of the application for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses.
- 15. The Court further orders, adjudges, and decrees that all other relief be, and is hereby, denied, and that this Order and Final Judgment disposes of all the claims as to all the parties in the Action.

Case: 5:16-cv-02470-SL Doc #: 41 Filed: 05/31/17 9 of 9. PageID #: 1434

16. The Court hereby retains and reserves jurisdiction over all matters relating to the

interpretation, administration, implementation, effectuation, and enforcement of the Stipulation

and the Settlement.

17. In the event that this judgment does not become "Final" in accordance with

paragraph 5 of the Stipulation, then the judgment shall be rendered null and void to the extent

provided by and in accordance with the Stipulation, and this Order and Final Judgment shall be

vacated. In such event, all orders entered in connection with the Settlement shall be null and void,

and the Settlement Class shall be decertified. In such event, the Action shall return to its status

prior to execution of the MOU.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 31, 2017

HONORABLE SARA LIOI

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE